

School Performance Profile Scores 2014

Quakertown Community School District School Board Presentation November 13, 2014



QCSD 2014 School Performance Profile Scores

School	2013	2014
SHS	87.7	93.2
FC	80.5	88.1
Strayer	83.4	89.4
Milford	91.5	83.7
Neidig	77.7	88.2
Pfaff	97.7	95.7
Quakertown Elementary	78.8	90.1
Richland	90.3	86.9
Tohickon Valley	80.0	85.7
Trumbauersville	79.9	96.4

What does the SPP tell us?

Academic Achievement
Closing the Achievement Gap
Indicators of Academic Growth (PVAAS)
Graduation Rate
Attendance Rate
Extra Credit for Advanced Achievement
(Students earning 3 or higher on AP Exams)

First, we celebrate...



How did scores improve? Working together...

It's about individual students: a review of each student's performance and a plan for improvement.

A clear understanding of standards and eligible content; strong curriculum, programs and interventions.

Continuous building-wide, classroom, and individual data review by building data teams.

Bucks County High Schools 2013-2014 Comparisons

High School	SPP	ED*	District Cost per Student**	College Readiness Index***
CB West	99.2	10.24	\$14,729.42	40.7
Pennridge	93.9	16.04	\$20,733.41	19.3
Quakertown	93.2	26.19	\$16,223.83	19.7
CR South	91.5	10.52	\$17,661.13	38.1
Neshaminy	91.5	18.83	\$18,239.98	21.1
Pennsbury	89.9	16.13	\$15,612.30	17.7
Palisades	88.6	16.53	\$19,053.10	28.6
Bensalem Twp.	81.8	42.88	\$16,365.86	13.9
Tennent HS (Cent.)	77.1	31.41	\$17,000.17	18.2

^{*}Percentage of Economically Disadvantaged

^{**}Exp Per ADM - 2012-2013

^{***}US News & World Report 2014

Regional High School SPP Comparisons 2014

High School	SPP	ED*	District Cost per Student**	College Readiness Index***
Souderton HS	94.9	16.89	\$15,212.34	35.9
North Penn HS	93.5	19.16	\$15,677.48	28.4
Quakertown	93.2	26.19	\$16,223.83	19.7
Emmaus HS (East Penn)	92.5	20.62	\$13,742.46	18.0
Upper Dublin HS	91.3	12.10	\$18,858.95	36.8
Parkland HS	90.9	18.40	\$13,949.03	17.3
Cumberland Valley	90.2	11.9	\$12,890.97	34.8
Upper Perkiomen	90.1	21.37	\$14,243.57	19.7
Saucon Valley SHS	88.9	15.30	\$16,283.63	6.7

^{*}Percentage of Economically Disadvantaged

^{**}Exp Per ADM - 2012-2013

^{***}US News & World Report 2014

Criteria for US News and World Report Ratings

Criteria:

A high school must serve all of its students well, not just those who are college bound, and that it must be able to produce measurable academic outcomes to show the school is successfully educating its student body across a range of performance indicators.

Three Step Process:

- Each school's students perform better than statistically expected for the average student in the state (state reading and math scores).
- The school's least advantaged students perform better than average for similar students in the state.
- College Readiness Performance based on which schools produce the best college-level achievement for the highest percentages of their students (the number of 12th grade students who took and passed at least one of the AP tests before or during senior year divided by the number of seniors in the school.)

QCSD Middle Schools 2014 SPP Scores in Bucks County

School	District	SPP	ED
Tamanend MS	Central Bucks	93.0	12.77
Log College MS	Centennial	89.5	34.51
Strayer MS	Quakertown	89.4	30.98
Charles Boehm MS	Pennsbury	85.7	21.51
Holland MS	Council Rock	83.8	9.50
Milford MS	Quakertown	83.7	25.00
New Hope Solebury	NHS	82.2	4.49
Palisades MS	Palisades	80.1	16.04
Klinger MS	Centennial	77.6	31.35
Cecilia Snyder MS	Bensalem	78.7	47.51
Pennridge South MS	Pennridge	76.2	26.14
Sandburg MS	Neshaminy	70.9	26.7

2014 SPP Comparisons Elementary (Bucks County ED Range 15% to 46%)

Rank	School	District	ED	SPP
1	Trumbauerville	Quakertown	21.36	96.4
2	Pfaff	Quakertown	14.95	95.7
3	Grasse	Pennridge	21.68	94.6
4	West Rockhill	Pennridge	20.44	92.8
5	Guth	Pennridge	25.67	91.7
6	Tinicum	Palisades	16.82	91.6
7	Barclay	Central Bucks	26.98	91.1
8	Durham Nockamixon	Palisades	23.89	90.8
9	Quakertown Elem	Quakertown	46.06	90.1

2014 SPP Comparisons: Elementary

(Bucks County Elementary Schools within ED Range 15% to 46%)

Rank	School	District	ED	SPP
10	Hoover	Neshaminy	19.45	89.5
11	Neidig	Quakertown	32.18	88.2
11	Belmont Hills	Bensalem	39.60	88.2
13	Valley	Bensalem	38.11	87.7
14	Richland	Quakertown	26.64	86.9
14	Manor	Pennsbury	34.52	86.9
16	Walt Disney	Pennsbury	32.78	86.6
17	Miller	Neshaminy	24.21	86.2
18	Tohickon Valley	Quakertown	27.86	85.7
19	Lower Southhampton	Neshaminy	21.05	84.5

2014 SPP Comparisons: Elementary

(Bucks County ED Range 15% to 46%)

Rank	School	District	ED	SPP
20	Springfield	Palisades	22.77	83.5
21	Federbau	Neshaminy	28.38	81.7
22	El. Roosevelt	Pennsbury	15.43	80.2
23	Struble	Bensalem	36.58	80.0
24	Schweitzer	Neshaminy	23.34	78.0
25	Davis	Centennial	20.35	77.1
26	Fallsington	Pennsbury	27.80	76.8
27	Everitt	Neshaminy	34.35	75.1
28	Oxford Valley	Pennsbury	28.95	75.0
29	McDonald	Centennial	44.93	73.5
30	Penn Valley	Pennsbury	28.81	72.6
31	Willow Dale	Centennial	40.19	71.1



Conclusions

Quakertown is an economically diverse community; all schools have double digit economically disadvantaged percentages from 15% to 46%. There is a .6 correlation between poverty and predicted performance. In many cases, QCSD schools are the exceptions to the rule by outperforming their predicted performance.

Our district and this close knit community value school and their children's achievement and it shows.

Our goals to increase College & Career Readiness (SAT, ACT, AP performance) will positively impact SPP scores, state test results, educator evaluations and the thing that matters the most: the lives of students!